



Atty. Docket No.: 29250-000492/US

November 13, 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

09/846,200

Filing Date:

May 2, 2001

Appellant:

Gregory CIURPITA et al.

Group Art Unit:

2665

Examiner:

J. Wozniak

Title:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR AUTOMATIC

RECOGNITION OF LONG SEQUENCES O

SPOKEN DIGITS

Conf No:

4515

RESPONSE TO NOTIFICATION OF NON-COMPLIANT APPEAL BRIEF

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents

Dear Sir:

In response to the Notice mailed October 13, 2006, and as a supplement to the Appeal Brief filed March 6, 2006, Appellants submit the following remarks.

(5) <u>SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER</u>:

Example embodiments relate to a method and system for an automatic speech recognition system 100. As illustrated in FIGS. 2 and 3, the speech recognition system 100 may included a receiver 125 for receiving at least a current subgroup of speech units that form part of a complete speech sequence that is to be input by a user, the complete speech sequence being embodied as at least one of a word and a password comprised of a plurality of alphanumeric characters, the subgroup being one or more alphanumeric characters of the complete speech sequence; a detector 300 for detecting a natural pause after receiving the alphanumeric characters; a speech recognition unit 123 for detecting a natural pause between input subgroups to output a recognition result representative of the current subgroup such that a pause between two alphanumeric characters in a given subgroup or a pause between one alphanumeric character and a subgroup are detected; and a controller 135 for evaluating the output recognition result and feeding back the recognition result to the user, wherein the recognition result is interrupted by the user prior to being fed back for verification.¹

By such configuration, the system attempts to provide feedback after each subgroup by repeating the recognition results, allowing the user to correct the results if erroneous. Additionally, the system may take advantage of an observation that a user not only naturally speaks slower when errors in recognition occur, but will also naturally speak in smaller groups of speech units as repeated errors in speech verification occur.²

Moreover, regardless of the number of subgroups or utterances, all recognition results may be confirmed (e.g., after being fed back to the user, all recognition results are assumed to be confirmed unless explicitly rejected by the user; such as through a negative command like "no" for example). Accordingly, results that have already been confirmed can still be rejected, simply by repeated rejections. Further, even if the initial bad results are not rejected by the user, and subsequent errors are added thereto, the system provides the user the ability to skip

Appellants' specification, paragraphs [0051] - [0065].

Appellants' specification, paragraph [0008].

back (i.e., to previous, previous-previous subgroup, etc.) where necessary to correct mistakes.3

CONCLUSION

For all the reasons set forth above, Appellants respectfully request that this Appeal be granted by the panel and that the Examiner be reversed.

In the event that any matters remain at issue in the application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at (703) 668-8000 in the Northern Virginia area, for the purpose of a telephonic interview.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 08-0750 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

> Respectfully submitted, HARNESS, DICKEY, & PIERCE, P.L.C.

By

Jon Gary D. Yacura Reg. No. 35,416

P.O. Box 8910

Reston, Virginia 20195

(703) 668-8000

GDY/MJL/DJC

Appellants' specification, paragraph [0029].

PE Asserting Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box +

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

| | Application Number | 09/846,200 May 2, 2001 Gregory CIURPITA et al. | | |
|--|------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Filing Date | | | |
| | Inventor(s) | | | |
| | Group Art Unit | 2665 | | |
| | Examiner Name | James S. Wozniak | | |
| | Attorney Docket Number | 29250-000492/US | | |

| ENCLOSURES (check all that apply) | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|---|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Fee Transmittal Form | | Assignment Papers (for an Application) | | After Allowance Communication to Group | | | | |
| Fee Attached | | Letter to the Official Draftsperson and Sheets of Formal Drawing(s) | | LETTER SUBMITTING APPEAL BRIEF AND APPEAL BRIEF (w/clean version of pending claims) | | | | |
| ☐ Amendment ☐ After Final | | Licensing-related Papers | | | peal Communication to Group tice of Appeal, <u>Brief</u> , Reply Brief) | | | |
| | | Petition | | Proprietary Information | | | | |
| Affidavits/declaration(s) | | Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application | | Status Letter | | | | |
| Extension of Time Request | | Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address | | Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): | | | | |
| Express Abandonment Request | | ☐ Terminal Disclaimer☐ Request for Refund | | | Response to Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief | | | |
| ☐ Information Disclo | ☐ Information Disclosure Statement | | CD, Number of CD(s) | | | | | |
| Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) | | Remarks | | _ | | | | |
| Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application | | Mail Stop Appeal-Brief | | | | | | |
| Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 | | | | | | | | |
| SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT | | | | | | | | |
| Firm or Harness, Dickey & Individual name | | Pierce, P.L.C. Gary D. Yacura | | | Reg. No. 35,416 | | | |
| Signature | Poses | of Kan | 48078 | | | | | |
| Date November 13, 2006 | | | | | | | | |